### RECIDIVISM AMONG SEX OFFENDERS IN SOUTH CAROLINA

By:

#### The South Carolina Department of Corrections

The South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services

> The South Carolina Budget & Control Board, Office of Research and Statistics

The South Carolina Department of Public Safety

September 2007

#### Foreword

Concern about sex offenders and their behavior is quite understandably, not only a topic of great public concern, but also the target of numerous public policy proposals. Recent examples of such proposals include, but are not limited to, enhanced sanctions for convicted and repeat sex offenders, civil commitment for predatory sex offenders, the development of sex offender registries, the use of advanced technology to monitor sex offenders and residency restrictions. Unfortunately, the quality and extent of the body of knowledge concerning sex offender behavior has not kept pace with either the sophistication or potential cost of some of these proposed policies. This study represents a collaborative attempt on the part of four state agencies, the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC), the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services (SCDPPPS), the South Carolina Budget & Control Board Office of Research and Statistics (ORS) and the South Carolina Department of Public Safety (SCDPS) to add to the existing body of knowledge concerning the behavior of convicted sex offenders by replicating analysis previously undertaken by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). Although the analysis of South Carolina sex offender recidivism was undertaken as part of a larger, multi-state effort, this report represents only the findings for South Carolina.

This report would not have been possible without the efforts of staff from the above mentioned agencies. John Ward, Meesim Lee and other SCDC staff coordinated the statistical analysis of the inmate cohort. Ken Wagner and Marchar Stagg from SCDPPPS coordinated the statistical analysis of the community corrections client cohort. Charles Bradberry of ORS provided important technical assistance concerning the use of Computerized Criminal History Records (CCHR) data. Additionally, special appreciation is due to Major Stackhouse and her staff at the State Law Enforcement Division (SLED) for making CCHR data available for the purpose of this analysis. Funding for this project was the result of a grant from the Justice Research and Statistics Association (JRSA), to the SCDPS Office of Justice Programs, Statistical Analysis Center. JRSA is a non-profit organization which conducts and promotes policy-relevant research concerning justice issues. Any points of view or opinions stated are those of the principal researcher and do not necessarily represent the opinion or official position of JRSA.

Rob McManus SCDPS

#### **Recidivism Among Sex Offenders in South Carolina**

The purpose of this study is to shed light on the behavior of sex offenders in South Carolina, by replicating the methods of BJS special report Recidivism of Sex Offenders Released from Prison in 1994. That study followed prisoners released from prison in 15 states during 1994 over a three year period, examining their patterns of arrest, conviction and incarceration. This report seeks to replicate, to the degree possible, the analysis and resulting data tables for sex offenders released from SCDC in 2001. Additionally, this report also seeks to replicate the BJS report for sex offenders admitted to the SCDPPPS The rationale for this inclusion was that offenders under community in 2001. correctional supervision are a population of special interest, and that determining the progress of a group which had been subjected to supervision in the community might also have important policy implications. As with the BJS study, each group was followed for a period of three years to identify arrests, convictions and re-incarcerations. Other deviations from the original BJS report are noted throughout the report, primarily such deviations consist of omitted data tables resulting from the unavailability of out-of-state arrest data and in some cases, victim age data.

Sex offenders were classified generally into two groups: rapists and sexual assaulters. This classification was based on imprisonment offense, individuals convicted of offenses that involved forcible sexual intercourse were classified as rapists, all other violent sex offenders were classified as sexual assaulters. The sub-category child molester was defined as any sex offender where the offense code specifically indicated that the victim was a minor. These three groups overlap in that the victim of a rapist or a sexual assaulter might victimize a child, in which case the offender would also be considered a child molester. Although the BJS report identified statutory rapists as a separate category, neither the SCDC or SCDPPPS groups had any offenders who were identified as statutory rapists. Outcome data (criminal history records used to determine recidivism) were taken from the CCHR computerized criminal history provided by the SLED.

#### Sex Offenders Released from Incarceration During 2001

The cohort of sex offenders released from SCDC during 2001 consisted of 300 individuals. They were classified, according to the nature of the offense, either as rapists (63.7%) or sexual assaulters (36.3%). Only male sex offenders were included in this study. The racial breakdown among sex offenders was 51% White, 46.3% Black and 2.7% Other. The mean age of all sex offenders was 36.4 years.

Percent of released prisoners								
Prisoner characteristic	All		Rapists		Sexual assaul	ters		
Total released		100%		100%		100%		
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
Race								
White	153	51.0%	103	53.9%	50	45.9%		
Black	139	46.3	83	43.5	56	51.4		
Other	8	2.7	5	2.6	3	2.8		
Hispanic origin								
Hispanic	7	2.3%	4	2.1%	3	2.8%		
Non-Hispanic	291	97.7	186	97.9	105	97.2		
Age at release								
18-24*	50	16.7%	34	17.8%	16	14.7%		
25-29	43	14.3	26	13.6	17	15.6		
30-34	51	17.0	31	16.2	20	18.3		
35-39	54	18.0	36	18.8	18	16.5		
40-44	31	10.3	22	11.5	9	8.3		
45 or older	71	23.7	42	22.0	29	26.6		
	300		191		109			
Age at release								
Average		36.4 yrs		35.9yrs		37.2 yrs		
Median		36.0		36.0		36.0		
Total released	300		191		109			

#### Table 1. Demographic characteristics of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender

Note: Data identifying race and age were reported for 100% of 300 released sex offenders; .05% of the offenders had no indication of Hispanic origin.

\*Age at release 18-24 includes those who were under age 18 when released from prison in 2001. Under SC law, 17 year olds are incarcerated as adults at SCDC.

Two-thirds of the sex offenders released from prison in 2001 were child molesters. The racial distribution among child molesters was 59.5% White, 37.5% Black and 3% Other. The mean age at release was 36.8 years.

Prisoner characteristic		Percent Child molesters	of released prisoners Statutory rapists
Total released		100%	NA
Race			
White	119	59.5%	NA
Black	75	37.5	NA
Other	6	3.0	NA
Hispanic origin			
Hispanic	5	2.5%	NA
Non-Hispanic	193	97.5	NA
Age at release			
18-24*	33	16.5%	NA
25-29	33	16.5	NA
30-34	28	14.0	NA
35-39	37	18.5	NA
40-44	19	9.5	NA
45 or older	50	25.0	NA
Age at release			
Average		36.8 yrs	NA
Median		36.0	NA
Total released		200	NA

 Table2. Demographic characteristics of child molesters and statutory rapists

 released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender

Note: Two released child molesters had no indication of Hispanic origin.

\*Age at release 18-24 includes those who were under age 18 when released from prison in 2001. Under SC law, 17 year olds are incarcerated as adults at SCDC.

Overall, sex offenders released in 2001 were sentenced to an average sentence of nine years and one month of which they served 57.8%. Rapists had longer sentences and served more time than sexual assaulters.

Characteristic		All		Rapists	Se	exual assaulters				
Sentence length (in months)* Mean Median		109.0mo 59.0		145.2mo 118.0		53.3mo 56.1				
TT'										
<b>Time served (in months)*</b> Mean		56.5mo		73.1mo		29.0mo				
Median		40.6		60.0		30.0				
Wiedluit		10.0		00.0		50.0				
Percent of sentence served*		57.8%		59.6%		54.5%				
Upon release in 2001, percent who had served										
6 months or less	15	6.2%	5	3.3%	10	11.2%				
7-12	18	7.5	8	5.3	10	11.2				
13-18	15	6.2	8	5.3	7	7.9				
19-24	18	7.5	7	4.6	11	12.4				
25-30	7	2.9	3	2.0	4	4.5				
31-36	29	12.0	10	6.6	19	21.3				
37-60	42	17.4	29	19.1	13	14.6				
61 months or more	76	40.2	67	53.9	9	16.9				
Not available	21	8.7	15	9.9	6	6.7				
	241		152		89					
Upon release in 2001, perce	nt wit	h time still :	remai	ining to be	served	**				
			0							
Zero	176	73.0%	93	61.2%	83	93.3%				
6 months or less	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
7-12	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
13-18	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0				
19-24	44	18.3	43	28.3	1	1.1				
25-30	1	0.4	1	0.7	0	0.0				
31-36	1	0.4	0	0.0	1	1.1				
37-60	8	3.3	5	3.3	3	3.4				
61 months or more	11	4.6	10	6.6	1	1.1				
	241		152		89					
Total first releases		241		152		89				

## Table 3. Sentence length and time served for sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender

Notes: 21 first time releases were not available on time served.

\*\*SCDC inmates accrue credits for work and good behavior, towards their sentences. Thus an offender maxes out when his time served and credits together satisfy his prison sentence. Accordingly, maxed out inmates do not have time remaining to serve.

\*\*Truth-in-Sentencing offenders must serve 85% of their sentence with maximum accrual of work/educational credits not to exceed 15%, followed by 2 years of community supervision. Thus these TIS offenders were considered to have 24 months remaining.

Figures are based on first releases only. First releases include only those offenders leaving prison for the first time since beginning their sentence. First releases exclude those who left prison in 2001 but who had previously been released under the same sentence and had returned to prison for violation the conditions of release.

Child molesters had an average sentence of seven years and two months, of which they served 58.8%.

## Table 4. Sentence length and time served for child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender

Characteristic Sentence length (in months)*		Child molesters	Statutory rapists
Mean		86.0mo	NA
Median		59.0	NA
Time served (in months)*			
Mean		46.6mo	NA
Median		34.1	NA
Percent of sentence served*		58.8%	NA
Upon release in 2001, percent	who h	ad served	
6 months or less	10	6.1%	NA
7-12	11	6.7	NA
13-18	11	6.7	NA
19-24	15	9.1	NA
25-30	4	2.4	NA
31-36	25	15.2	NA
37-60	31	18.8	NA
61 months or more	42	25.5	NA
Not available	16	9.7	NA
	165		

#### Upon release in 2001, percent with time still remaining to be served \*\*

Zero	122	73.9%	
6 months or less	0	0.0	NA
7-12	0	0.0	NA
13-18	0	0.0	NA
19-24	34	20.6	NA
25-30	1	0.6	NA
31-36	1	0.6	NA
37-60	4	2.4	NA
61 months or more	3	1.8	NA
	165		
Total first releases		165	NA

Note: time served information was missing for \*16 first-time released child molesters.

\*\*SCDC inmates accrue credits for work and good behavior, towards their sentences. Thus an offender maxes out when his time served and credits together satisfy his prison sentence. Accordingly, maxed out inmates do not have time remaining to serve.

\*\*Truth-in-Sentencing offenders must serve 85% of their sentence with maximum accrual of work/educational credits not to exceed 15%, followed by 2 years of community supervision. Thus these TIS offenders were considered to have 24 months remaining.

Figures are based on first releases only. First releases include only those offenders leaving prison for the first time since beginning their sentence. First releases exclude those who left prison in 2001 but who had previously been released under the same sentence and had returned to prison for violation the conditions of release.

Nearly two-thirds of sex offenders had at least one prior arrest, with a mean of 4.8 prior arrests. However only 14.7% had a prior arrest for a sex offense and only 5% had a prior arrest for a sex offense against a child.

## Table 5. Prior criminal record of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender

					Sexual
All			Rapists	A	ssaulters
No.	%	No.	<u>/</u> %	No.	%
ior ar	rest for -				
194	64.7%	126	66.0%	68	62.4%
44	14.7	31	16.2	13	11.9
15	5.0	8	4.2	7	6.4
e					
	4.8		5.2		4.2
	3		4		3
ior co	nviction fo	r -			
171	57.0%	112	58.6%	59	54.1%
41	13.7	28	14.7	13	11.9
14	4.7	7	3.7	7	6.4
rime					
5.3	1.4	4.5	1.4	3.9	1.3
3	1	3	1	3	1
sente	nce for any	y crime			
118	39.3%	73	38.2%	45	41.3%
leases					
241	80.3%	152	79.6%	89	81.7%
	ior arr 194 44 15 e ior con 171 41 14 crime 5.3 3 sente 118 leases	No.         %           ior arrest for -         194         64.7%           44         14.7         15         5.0           e         4.8         3           ior conviction for         171         57.0%           41         13.7         14         4.7           rime         5.3         1.4         3         1           sentence for any         118         39.3%         Jeases	No.%No.ior arrest for -194 $64.7\%$ 1264414.731155.08e4.83ior conviction for -171 $57.0\%$ 1124113.728144.77crime5.31.45.31.44.5313a sentence for any crime11811839.3%73leases	No.%No.%ior arrest for -194 $64.7\%$ 126 $66.0\%$ 4414.731 $16.2$ 15 $5.0$ 8 $4.2$ e4 $4.8$ $5.2$ 34ior conviction for -171 $57.0\%$ 11218 $5.3$ 1.44.77 $3.7$ crime $5.3$ 1.4 $5.3$ $1.4$ $4.5$ $1.4$ $3$ 1 $3$ $1$ $3$ $38.2\%$ leases $73$	No.%No.%No.ior arrest for -194 $64.7\%$ 126 $66.0\%$ 684414.731 $16.2$ 1315 $5.0$ 8 $4.2$ 7e $4.8$ $5.2$ 34ior conviction for - $112$ $58.6\%$ 594113.72814.71314 $4.7$ 7 $3.7$ 7crime $5.3$ $1.4$ $4.5$ $1.4$ $3.9$ $3$ 1 $3$ 1 $3$ sentence for any crime $118$ $39.3\%$ $73$ $38.2\%$ $45$

#### Prior to the sex crime for which

Sixty-one percent of child molesters had a prior arrest, however only 11.5% had an arrest for a sex offense and 6.5% had a prior arrest for a sex offense against a child. The mean number of prior arrests was 4.3. Among child molesters, 52.5% had at least one prior conviction for any crime, 10.5% had a prior conviction for a sex offense and 6% had a prior conviction for a sex offense against a child.

## Table 6. Prior criminal record of child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender

Prior to the sex crime for which imprisoned		Child molesters	Statutory rapists
Percent with at least 1 prior arrest for -			
Any crime	122	61.0%	NA
Any sex offense	23	11.5	NA
sex offense against a child	13	6.5	NA
Prior arrest for any crime			
Mean		4.3	NA
Median		3	NA
Percent with at least 1 prior conviction for -			
Any crime	105	52.5%	NA
Any sex offense	21	10.5	NA
sex offense against a child	12	6.0	NA
Prior conviction for any crime			
Mean	3.8	1.3	NA
Median	2	1	NA
Percent with prior prison sentence for any			
crime	70	35.0%	NA
Percent who were first releases	165	82.5%	NA
Total released		200	NT A
Total released		200	NA

Note: These counts include incidents of arrests/convictions reported by South Carolina criminal justice entities irrespective of whether they pertain to felony, serious misdemeanor, or minor offenses at municipal court level. In South Carolina, felony and misdemeanor are defined by statute.

Prior does not include the arrest, conviction, or prison sentence that was the reason the sex offenders were in prison in 2001. First releases include only those offenders leaving prison for the fist time since beginning their sentence. First releases exclude those who left prison in 2001 but who had previously been released under the same sentence and had returned to prison for violating the conditions of release.

Among all sex offenders, 43% were rearrested for any sort of crime, 22.3% were reconvicted and 22.3% were re-incarcerated. Rapists had higher re-arrest, re-conviction and re-incarceration rates than sexual assaulters.

## Table 7. Recidivism rate of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by recidivism measure and type of sex offender

Recidivism measure		All		Rapists		Sexual assaulters
Within 3 years following release						
Rearrested for any type of crime	129	43.0%	87	45.5%	42	38.5%
Reconvicted for any type of crime	67	22.3%	44	23.0%	23	21.1%
Returned to prison with a new						
sentence for any type of crime		NA		NA		NA
Returned to prison with or without						
a new sentence	67	22.3%	45	23.6%	22	20.2%
Total released		300		191		109

Note: "New prison sentence" includes new sentences of State prisons but not to local jails.

These counts include incidents of arrests/convictions reported by South Carolina criminal justice entities irrespective of whether they pertain to felony, serious misdemeanor, or minor offenses at municipal court level. In South Carolina, felony and misdemeanor are defined by statute.

"With or without a new sentence" includes prisoners with new sentences to State prisons plus prisoners returned for technical violations.

Thirty-eight percent of child molesters were re-arrested, 20% were reconvicted of a new offense and 23.5% were re-incarcerated.

### Table 8. Recidivism rate of child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001, by recidivism measure

Recidivism measure		Child molesters	Statutory rapists
Within 3 years following release			-
Rearrested for any type of crime	76	38.0%	NA
Reconvicted for any type of crime	40	20.0%	NA
Returned to prison with a new sentence			
for any type of crime		NA	NA
Returned to prison with or without a new			
sentence	47	23.5%	NA
Total released		200	NA

Note: "New prison sentence" includes new sentences of State prisons but not to local jails.

These counts include incidents of arrests/convictions reported by South Carolina criminal justice entities irrespective of whether they pertain to felony, serious misdemeanor, or minor offenses at municipal court level. In South Carolina, felony and misdemeanor are defined by statute.

"With or without a new sentence" includes prisoners with new sentences to State prisons plus prisoners returned for technical violations of the conditions of supervision.

Forty-three percent of sex offenders were rearrested within three years, 22.3% were reconvicted for a crime within three years, the same number which were re-incarcerated.

### Table 9. Recidivism rate of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of recidivism measure, type of sex offender, and time after release

					Se	xual	
Recidivism measure	A	411	Raj	pists	Ass	Assaulters	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Rearrested for any type	of crim	e within					
6 months	32	10.7%	22	11.5%	10	9.2%	
1 year	59	19.7	43	22.5	16	14.7	
2 years	98	32.7	70	36.6	28	25.7	
3 years	129	43.0	87	45.5	42	38.5	
-							
Reconvicted for any type	e of cri	me within	l				
6 months	9	3.0%	2	1.0%	7	6.4%	
1 year	26	8.7	15	7.9	11	10.1	
2 years	45	15.0	28	14.7	17	15.6	
3 years	67	22.3	44	23.0	23	21.1	
•							
Returned to prison with	or witl	nout a ne	w sentence f	or any ty	pe of crime v	vithin	
6 months	7	2.3%	6	3.1%	. 1	0.9%	
1 year	19	6.3	14	7.3	5	4.6	
2 years	48	16.0	34	17.8	14	12.8	
3 years	67	22.3	45	23.6	22	20.2	
2							
Total released	300		191		109		

## Cumulative percent of sex offenders released from prison in 2001

Note: New sentence includes new sentences to State prisons but not to local jails.

Among child molesters, 38% were rearrested within 3 years. Twenty percent were reconvicted of a crime within the same period and 23.5% were returned to prison during the same time period.

Thirty-eight percent of child molesters were re-arrested for some crime within three years. Twenty percent were reconvicted of a new offense and 23.5% were re-incarcerated.

# Table 10. Recidivism rate of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of recidivism measure, type of sex offender, and time after release

	Cumulative percent of sex offenders released from prison in 2001						
	1	Child	Statutory				
Recidivism measure		molesters	rapists				
Rearrested for any type of crime within			-				
6 months	17	8.5%	NA				
1 year	31	15.5	NA				
2 years	54	27.0	NA				
3 years	76	38.0	NA				
Reconvicted for any type of crime within	0	4.00/	NA				
6 months	8 16	4.0% 8.0	NA NA				
1 year 2 years	28	8.0 14.0	NA				
3 years	28 40	20.0	NA				
Returned to prison with or without a new sentence for any type of crime within	10	20.0					
6 months	6	3.0%	NA				
1 year	15	7.5	NA				
2 years	35	17.5	NA				
3 years	47	23.5	NA				
Total released		200	NA				

Note: New sentence includes new sentences to State prisons but not to local jails.

Young sex offenders were re-arrested at a higher rate than older sex offenders. The highest re-arrest rate was among offenders who were 25 to 29 years old at the time of release. Black sex offenders were re-arrested more often than White sex offenders.

Drisonor	Percent rearrested for any type of crime within 3 year								ithin 3 years Sexual
Prisoner characteristic			All			Rapists			Assaulters
Total released			100%			100%			100%
Race									
White	57	153	37.3%	36	103	35.0%	21	50	42.0%
Black	70	139	50.4	49	83	59.0	21	56	37.5
Other	2	8	25.0	2	5	40.0	0	3	0.0
Hispanic origin									
Hispanic	1	7	14.3%	1	4	25.0%	0	3	0.0%
Non-Hispanic	127	291	43.6	86	186	46.2	41	105	39.0
Age at release									
18-24*	32	50	64.0%	18	34	52.9%	14	16	87.5%
25-29	29	43	67.4	20	26	76.9	9	17	52.9
30-34	21	51	41.2	16	31	51.6	5	20	25.0
35-39	19	54	35.2	11	36	30.6	8	18	44.4
40-44	10	31	32.3	8	22	36.4	2	9	22.2
45 or older	18	71	25.4	14	42	33.3	4	29	13.8
	129	300		87	191		42	109	
Total released		300			191			109	

Table 11. Re-arrest rate of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender and demographic characteristics of released prisoners

Note: Data identifying race and age were reported for 100% of 300 released sex offenders; 0.5% of the offenders had no indication of Hispanic origin.

\*Age at release 18-24 includes the few who were under age 18 when released from 2001

Younger offenders (ages 18 - 29) had the highest re-arrest rate among child molesters, two-thirds were arrested for some crime within three years. The re-arrest rate among Black child molesters was higher than the re-arrest rate among White child molesters.

Table 12. Re-arrest rate of child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender and demographic characteristics of released prisoners

			Percent rearrested for any type of crin				
Prisoner Characteristic			within 3 years Child Molesters	Statutory rapists			
Total released			100%	NA			
Race							
White	38	119	31.9%	NA			
Black	37	75	49.3	NA			
Other	1	6	16.7	NA			
Hispanic origin							
Hispanic	0	5	0.0%	NA			
Non-Hispanic	75	193	38.9	NA			
Age at release							
18-24*	22	33	66.7%	NA			
25-29	22	33	66.7	NA			
30-34	6	28	21.4	NA			
35-39	14	37	37.8	NA			
40-44	2	19	10.5	NA			
45 or older	10	50	20.0	NA			
	76	200					
Total released			200	NA			

Note: Two released child molesters had no indication of Hispanic origin. \*Age at release 18-24 includes the few who were under age 18 when released from prison in 2001. Although the highest re-arrest rate was among sex offenders who had served 13 to 18 months in prison, there was no readily apparent pattern or trend in re-arrests rates by time served.

### Table 13. Re-arrest rate of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offenders and time served before release

		All	Rapists					Sexual assaulters		
Time served in prison before 2001 release*										
Months										
6 or less	3	15	20.0%	3	5	60.0%	0	10	0.0%	
7-12	11	18	61.1	5	8	62.5	6	10	60.0	
13-18	10	15	66.7	6	8	75.0	4	7	57.1	
19-24	6	18	33.3	3	7	42.9	3	11	27.3	
25-30	4	7	57.1	2	3	66.7	2	4	50.0	
31-36	12	29	41.4	5	10	50.0	7	19	36.8	
37-60	19	42	45.2	14	29	48.3	5	13	38.5	
61 or more	21	76	27.6	20	67	29.9	1	9	11.1	
NA	10	21	47.6	8	15	53.3	2	6	33.3	
	96	241		66	152		30	89		
Total		241			152			89		

#### Percent rearrested for any type of crime within 3 years

Note: \*time served was not available for 21 first-time releases. Figures are based on first releases only. First releases include only those offenders leaving prison for the first time since beginning their sentence. First releases exclude those who left prison in 2001 but who had previously been released under the same sentence and had returned to prison for violation the conditions of release.

The re-arrest rate among child molesters generally decreased with the amount of time served in prison, although none of the child molesters released in 6 months or less were re-arrested within the three year period.

Table 14. Re-arrest rate of child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offenders and time served before release

Time served in prison				Statutory
Before 2001 release*	C	hild Mo	lesters	Rapists
6 months or less	0	10	0.0%	NA
7-12	7	11	63.6	NA
13-18	7	11	63.6	NA
19-24	4	15	26.7	NA
25-30	2	4	50.0	NA
31-36	10	25	40.0	NA
37-60	13	31	41.9	NA
61 months or more	8	42	19.0	NA
Not available	6	16	37.5	
	57	165		

#### Percent rearrested for any type of crime within 3 years

Total first releases	165	NA

Note: \*time served was not available for 16 first-time released child molesters. Figures are based on first releases only. First releases include only those offenders leaving prison for the first time since beginning their sentence. First releases exclude those who left prison in 2001 but who had previously been released under the same sentence and had returned to prison for violation the conditions of release.

Sex offenders with prior arrests were re-arrested at the rate of 50.5%, compared to 29.2% for sex offenders who had no previous arrests.

## Table 15. Re-arrest rate of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender and prior arrest for any type of crime

Arrest prior to 2001 release		All		Rapists		Sexual Assaulters
<b>Percent rearrested for any type of crime within 3 years</b> Total		43.0%		45.5%		38.5%
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for any type of crime Not their first arrest for any type of crime	31 98 129	29.2 50.5	15 72 87	23.1 57.1	16 26 42	39.0 38.2
<b>Percent of released prisoners</b> Total		100%		100%		100%
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for any type of crime Not their first arrest for any type of crime	106 194 300	35.3 64.7	65 126 191	34.0 66.0	41 68 109	37.6 62.4
Total released		300		191		109

Note: \*By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their release: namely, the arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001.

Child molesters with prior arrests were re-arrested at the rate of 44.3%, compared to 28.2% for child molesters with no prior arrests.

Table 16. Re-arrest rate of child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender and prior arrest for any type of crime

Arrest prior to 2001 release		Child Molesters	Statutory Rapists
<b>Percent rearrested for any type of</b> <b>crime within 3 years</b> Total		38.0%	NA
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for any type of			
crime	22	28.2	NA
Not their first arrest for any type of crime	54 76	44.3	NA
<b>Percent of released prisoners</b> Total		100%	NA
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for any type of			
crime Not their first arrest for any type of	78	39.0	NA
crime	122 200	61.0	NA
Total released	200		NA

Note: \*By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their release: namely, the arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001.

The re-arrest rate of sex offenders generally increased with the number of prior arrests. The highest re-arrest rate was among sex offenders with 16 or more previous arrests.

Table 17. Re-arrest rate of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of sex
offender and number of prior arrests for any type of crime

Number of adult arrests prior to 2001 release		All		Rapists		Sexual Assaulters
Percent rearrested for any						
type of crime within 3 years	129		87		42	
1 prior arrest for any type of						
crime	31	29.2%	15	23.1%	16	39.0%
2	12	30.8	9	39.1	3	18.8
3	23	60.5	16	66.7	7	50.0
4	14	51.9	10	62.5	4	36.4
5	10	66.7	8	72.7	2	50.0
6	13	65.0	11	73.3	2	40.0
7-10	9	34.6	5	29.4	4	44.4
11-15	12	52.2	8	57.1	4	44.4
16 or more	5	83.3	5	83.3	0	0.0
Percent of released						
prisoners						
All sex offenders	300	100%	191	100%	109	100%
1 prior arrest for any type of						
crime	106	35.3	65	34.0	41	37.6
2	39	13.0	23	12.0	16	14.7
3	38	12.7	24	12.6	14	12.8
4	27	9.0	16	8.4	11	10.1
5	15	5.0	11	5.8	4	3.7
6	20	6.7	15	7.9	5	4.6
7-10	26	8.7	17	8.9	9	8.3
11-15	23	7.7	14	7.3	9	8.3
16 or more	6	2.0	6	3.1	0	0.0
Total released		300		191		109

The re-arrest rate among child molesters was generally higher among those with more prior arrests.

## Table 18. Rearrest rate of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender and number of prior arrests for any type of crime

Number of adult arrests prior to 2001 release		Child molesters	Statutory rapists
<b>Percent rearrested for any</b> <b>type of crime within 3 years</b> 1 prior arrest for any type of	76		
crime	22	28.2%	NA
2	7	25.0	NA
3	15	53.6	NA
4	8	47.1	NA
5	5	62.5	NA
6	6	50.0	NA
7-10	6	40.0	NA
11-15	5	41.7	NA
16 or more	2	100.0	NA
Percent of released prisoners			
All sex offenders	200	100%	NA
1 prior arrest for any type of			
crime	78	39.0	NA
2	28	14.0	NA
3	28	14.0	NA
4	17	8.5	NA
5	8	4.0	NA
6	12	6.0	NA
7-10	15	7.5	NA
11-15	12	6.0	NA
16 or more	2	1.0	NA
Total released		200	NA

TABLES19 and 20 were not replicated because arrest data outside of South Carolina were not available for this report.

Re-arrest and re-conviction for sex crimes among sex offenders was a relatively infrequent event. Among all sex offender, 4% were re-arrested for a new sex crime and 2% were re-convicted for a new sex crime within three years of their release from prison. Rapists had higher re-arrest and re-conviction rates than sexual assaulters.

## Table 21. Of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, percent rearrested and percent reconvicted for any new sex crime, by type of sex offender

		All		Rapists		Sexual Assaulters
Percent rearrested for any new sex crime within 3 years	12	4.0%	9	4.7%	3	2.8%
Percent reconvicted for any new sex crime within 3 years	6	2.0%	4	2.1%	2	1.8%
Total released	300		191		109	

Among child molesters, 2.5% were re-arrested for a new sex crime and 1.5% were reconvicted of a new sex crime.

## Table 22. Of child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001, percent rearrested and percent reconvicted for any new sex crime

		Child Molesters	Statutory Rapists
Percent rearrested for any new sex crime within 3 years	5	2.5%	NA
Percent reconvicted for any new sex crime within 3 years	3	1.5%	NA
Total released	200		NA

More sex offenders were re-arrested between two and three years out of prison than any other time interval. It is important to note that the numbers are so small it is difficult to infer meaningful trends.

Table 23. Of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, percent re-arrested for any new sex crime, by type of sex offender and time after release

	Cumulative percent re-arrested for any new sex crime within specified time							
Time after 2001 release		All		Rapists		Sexual Assaulters		
6 months	4	1.3%	3	1.6%	1	0.9%		
1 year	5	1.7	4	2.1	1	0.9		
2 years	7	2.3	6	3.1	1	0.9		
3 years	12	4.0	9	4.7	3	2.8		
Total released	300		191		109			

Among child molesters, more were re-arrested during the two to three year interval after release. Again, it is important to note that the very small numbers involved make it difficult to attach much meaning to this trend.

Table 24. Of child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001, percent re-arrested for any new sex crime, by time after release

		-	ercent re-arrested ex crime within
Time after 2001 release		Child Molesters	Statutory Rapists
6 months	1	0.5%	NA
1 year	1	0.5	NA
2 years	2	1.0	NA
3 years	5	2.5	NA
Total released	200		NA

Young sex offenders were re-arrested more often for sex offenses than older sex offenders. Over the three year period, 4.3% of Black sex offenders were re-arrested, 3.9% of White sex offenders were re-arrested.

## Table 25. Of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, percent re-arrested for any new sex crime, by demographic characteristics of released prisoners

Percent of released sex offenders re-arrested for any new sex crime within 3 years

Of Total released			4.0%
Prisoner characte	ristics		
Race	Total	No.	%
White	153	6	3.9%
Black	139	6	4.3
Other	8	0	0.0
Hispanic origin			
Hispanic	7	0	0.0%
Non-Hispanic	291	12	4.1
Age at release			
18-24	50	4	8.0%
25-29	43	1	2.3
30-34	51	4	7.8
35-39	54	1	1.9
40-44	31	1	3.2
45 or older	71	1	1.4
			12
Total released	300		4.0

Note: Data identifying race were reported for 100% of 300 released sex offenders; Hispanic origin for 99.5%; age for virtually 100%.

Seven of the nine sex offenders who were re-arrested for new sex crimes served more than three years before their release. However, the number of re-arrests is so small it is difficult to make any meaningful inferences.

Time served in prison before 2001 release			Percent of released sex offenders re-arrested for new sex crime in 3 years
6 months or less	0	15	0.0%
7-12	0	18	0.0
13-18	2	15	13.3
19-24	0	18	0.0
25-30	0	7	0.0
31-36	0	29	0.0
37-60	4	42	9.5
61 months or more	3	76	3.9
Not available	0	21	0.0
	9	241	
Total first releases		241	

### Table 26. Of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, percent re-arrested for any new sex crime, by time served before being released.

Note: \*time served was not available for 21 first-time releases. Figures are based on first releases only. First releases include only those offenders leaving prison for the first time since beginning their sentence. First releases exclude those who left prison in 2001 but who had previously been released under the same sentence and had returned to prison for violation the conditions of release.

Among sex offenders released in 2001 with no prior arrests (other than the one for which they were incarcerated) 5.7% were arrested for a new sex offense, compared to 3.1% for sex offenders with prior arrests.

## Table 27. Of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, percent re-arrested for any new sex crime, by type of sex offender and prior arrest for any sex crime

Arrest prior to 2001 release		All		Rapists		Sexual assaulters
<b>Percent rearrested for any</b> <b>new sex crime within 3 years</b> Total		4.0%		4.7%		2.8%
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for any type						
of crime Not their first arrest for any	6	5.7	4	6.2	2	4.9
type of crime	6 12	3.1	5 9	4.0	1 3	1.5
<b>Percent of released prisoners</b> Total		100%		100%		100%
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for any type						
of crime	106	35.3	65	34.0	41	37.6
Not their first arrest for any type of crime	194 300	64.7	126 191	66.0	68 109	62.4
Total released	300		191		109	

Note: \*By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their release: namely, the arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001.

Thirty-nine percent of child molesters were in prison for their first arrest and among that group, 5.1% were arrested for a new sex offense. Among child molesters with prior arrests, 0.8% were arrested for a new sex offenses.

 Table 28. Of child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001,

 percent rearrested for any new sex crime, by prior arrest for any sex crime

Arrest prior to 2001 release		Child molesters	Statutory rapists
<b>Percent rearrested for any new</b> <b>sex crime within 3 years</b> Total		2.5%	NA
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for any type of			
crime Not their first error for error time of	4	5.1	NA
Not their first arrest for any type of crime	1 5	0.8	NA
<b>Percent of released prisoners</b> Total		100%	NA
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for any type of			
crime	78	39.0	NA
Not their first arrest for any type of crime	122	61.0	NA
Total released	200		NA

Note: \*By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their release: namely, the arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001.

Among sex offenders released from prison, there was no clear pattern of re-arrest for new sex offenses associated with the number of prior arrests.

Table 29. Of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, percent rearrested for any new sex crime, by number of prior arrests for any type of crime

Number of adult arrests prior to 2001 release	Percent re-arrested for any sex crime within 3 years		
	No.	%	
All sex offenders	12	4.0%	
1 prior arrest for any type of crime	6	5.7	
2	1	2.6	
3	1	2.6	
4	0	0.0	
5	0	0.0	
6	1	5.0	
7-10	1	3.8	
11-15	1	4.3	
16 or more	1	16.7	

	Percent of released prisoners			
	No.	%		
All sex offenders	300	100%		
1 prior arrest for any type of crime	106	35.3		
2	39	13.0		
3	38	12.7		
4	27	9.0		
5	15	5.0		
6	20	6.7		
7-10	26	8.7		
11-15	23	7.7		
16 or more	6	2.0		
Total released	300			

Of all sex offenders released from prison in 2001, 4% were rearrested for a new sex crime within three years.

## Table 30. Of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, percent rearrested for any new sex crime, by type of sex offender and prior arrest for any sex crime

Arrest prior to 2001 release		All		Rapists		Sexual Assaulters
<b>Percent rearrested for any</b> <b>new sex crime within 3 years</b> Total		4.0%		4.7%		2.8%
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for any sex						
crime	6	2.3	4	2.5	2	2.1
Not their first arrest for any sex crime	6 12	13.6	5 9	16.1	1 3	7.7
<b>Percent of released prisoners</b> Total		100%		100%		100%
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for any sex						
crime	256	85.3	160	83.8	96	88.1
Not their first arrest for any sex crime	44 300	14.7	31 191	16.2	13 109	11.9
Total released		300		191		109

Note: \*By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their release: namely, the arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001.

Among the five child molesters re-arrested for a new sex crime, four had no previous arrests for sex crimes.

Table 31. Of child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001, percent rearrested for any new sex crime, by prior arrest for any sex crime

Arrest prior to 2001 release		Child Molesters	Statutory Rapists
Percent rearrested for any new sex crime within 3 years			
Total		2.5%	NA
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - *			
Their first arrest for any sex crime	4	2.3	NA
Not their first arrest for any sex crime	1 5	4.3	NA
<b>Percent of released prisoners</b> Total		100%	NA
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - *			
Their first arrest for any sex crime	177	88.5	NA
Not their first arrest for any sex crime	23 200	11.5	NA
Total released	200		NA

Note: \*By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their release: namely, the arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001.

Tables 32 and 33 were not replicated because arrest data outside of South Carolina were not available for this report.

Tables 34 and 35 were not replicated because information concerning the victim's age was not available for this report.

Of those sex offenders re-arrested for a sex crime against a child, none had previous arrests for a sex crime against a child. Among sex offenders released in 2001, 95% did not have previous arrests for a sex crime against a child (other than the offense responsible for the current incarceration).

### Table 36. Of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, percent rearrested for a sex crime against a child, by prior arrest for a sex crime against a child

Arrest prior to 2001 release Percent rearrested for a sex crime against a child within 3		All		Rapists		Sexual Assaulters
<b>years</b> Total		2.0%		2.6%		0.9%
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for a sex crime against a child Not their first arrest for a sex crime against a child	6 0 6	2.1 0.0	5 0 5	2.7 0.0	1 0 1	1.0 0.0
	0		5		1	
<b>Percent of released prisoners</b> Total		100%		100%		100%
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for a sex crime	205	05.0	102	05.0	100	
against a child Not their first arrest for a sex	285	95.0	183	95.8	102	93.6
crime against a child	15	5.0	8	4.2	7	6.4
Total released	300		191		109	

Note: \*By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their release: namely, the arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001.

Of the child molesters released from prison in 2001, 2 (1%) were rearrested for a sex crime against a child. Both had been incarcerated for their first arrest for a sex crime against a child. No child molesters who had prior arrests (other than the offense responsible for their 2001 incarceration) were re-arrested for a sex offense against a child.

# Table37. Of child molesters and statutory rapists released from prison in 2001, percent re-arrested for a sex crime against a child, by prior arrest for a sex crime against a child

Arrest prior to 2001 release Percent re-arrested for a sex crime		Child Molesters	Statutory Rapists
<b>against a child within 3 years</b> Total		1.0%	NA
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for a sex crime against a			
child Not their first arrest for a sex crime	2	1.1	NA
against a child	0 2	0.0	NA
<b>Percent of released prisoners</b> Total		100%	NA
The arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001 was - * Their first arrest for a sex crime against a			
child Not their first arrest for a sex crime	187	93.5	NA
against a child	13	6.5	NA

Note: \*By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their release: namely, the arrest responsible for their being in prison in 2001.

200

NA

**Total released** 

Among child molesters, 24.8% were 45 years of age or older, at the time of the offense resulting in the 2001 term of incarceration. The highest rate of re-arrest was among 35 to 39 year old child molesters. No information concerning the age of the victim was available.

Table 38. Among child molesters released from prison in 2001, the molester's age when he committed the crime that resulted in his imprisonment, the child's age, and percent re-arrested for a sex crime against a child

Age characteristic		Percent of total		Percent of released child molesters re- arrested for a sex crime against a child within 3 years
Child molester's age when he committed the sex crime for which imprisoned				·
18-24	26	15.8%	0	0.0%
25-29	27	16.4	1	3.7
30-34	22	13.3	1	4.5
35-39	26	15.8	2	7.7
40-44	23	13.9	0	0.0
45 or older	41	24.8	1	2.4
Age of child he was				
imprisoned for molesting	165		5	
13 or younger		NA		NA
14-15		NA		NA
16-17		NA		NA
How much older he was than the child he was imprisoned for molesting				
Up to 4 years older		NA		NA
5 to 9 years older		NA		NA
10 to 19 years older		NA		NA
20 or more years older		NA		NA

Total first releases 165

Note: Figures are based on first releases only. First releases include only those offenders leaving prison for the first time since beginning their sentence. First releases exclude those who left prison in 2001 but who had previously been released under the same sentence and had returned to prison for violation the conditions of release.

Tables 39 and 40 were not replicated because arrest data outside of South Carolina were not available for this report.

Forty-three percent of all sex offenders were re-arrested within three years. Among rapists the re-arrest rate was 45.5% compared to 38.5% for sexual assaulters. Seventeen percent of re-arrests for all sex offenders were for violent offenses and 4% of re-arrests were for sex offenses.

### Table 41. Re-arrest rate of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender and charge at re-arrest

Re-arrest charge	All			Rapists	A	ssaulters
	No.	%	No.	- %	No.	%
All charges *	129	43.0%	87	45.5%	42	38.5%
Violent offenses	51	17.0%	40	20.9%	11	10.1%
Homicide	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Sex offense	12	4.0	9	4.7	3	2.8
Rape	12	4.0	9	4.7	3	2.8
Sexual assault	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Robbery	3	1.0	3	1.6	0	0.0
Assault	36	12.0	28	14.7	8	7.3
	51		40		11	
Property offenses **	47	15.7%	30	15.7%	17	15.6%
Burglary	8	2.7	5	2.6	3	2.8
Larceny/theft	19	6.3	13	6.8	6	5.5
Motor vehicle theft	4	1.3	1	0.5	3	2.8
Fraud	11	3.7	7	3.7	4	3.7
	42		26		16	
Drug offenses	16	5.3%	11	5.8%	5	4.6%
Public-order offenses	79	26.3%	48	25.1%	31	28.4%
Other offenses	3 196	1.0%	2 131	1.0%	1 65	0.9%
Total released	300		191		109	

#### Percent re-arrested for specified offense within 3 years

Note: \*These counts include incidents of arrests/convictions reported by South Carolina criminal

Justice entities irrespective of whether they pertain to felony, serious misdemeanor, or minor offenses at municipal court level. In South Carolina, felony and misdemeanor are defined by statute.

\*\* Total property offenses include burglary, larceny, motor vehicle theft, fraud, forgery, embezzlement, arson, stolen property and other forms of property offenses.

Thirty-eight percent of child molesters were re-arrested for some offense within three years of their release. Ten percent were arrested for violent offenses, 2.5% were arrested for a sex offense.

Table 42. Re-arrest rate of sex offenders released from prison in 2001, by type of sex offender and charge at re-arrest

		Percent rearrested for specif offense within 3 years				
Re-arrest charge		Child molesters	Statutory rapists			
All charges *	76	38.0%	NA			
Violent offenses	20	10.0%	NA			
Homicide	0	0.0	NA			
Sex offense	5	2.5	NA			
Rape	5	2.5	NA			
Sexual assault	0	0.0	NA			
Robbery	0	0.0	NA			
Assault	15	7.5	NA			
	20					
Property offenses	30	15.0%	NA			
Burglary	5	2.5	NA			
Larceny/theft	12	6.0	NA			
Motor vehicle theft	4	2.0	NA			
Fraud	8	4.0	NA			
	29					
Drug offenses	10	5.0%	NA			
Public-order offenses	51	25.5%	NA			
Other offenses	3 114	1.5%	NA			
Total released	200		NA			

Note: \* These counts include incidents of arrests/convictions reported by South Carolina criminal justice entities irrespective of whether they pertain to felony, serious misdemeanor, or minor offenses at municipal court level. In South Carolina, felony and misdemeanor are defined by statute. \*\* Total property offenses include burglary, larceny, motor vehicle theft, fraud, forgery, embezzlement, arson, stolen property, and other forms of property offenses.

#### Sex Offenders Admitted to Community Corrections Supervision

A total of 288 male sex offenders were admitted to the supervision of the South Carolina Department of Probation, Parole and Pardon Services during 2001. Using the offense definition, they were classified as rapists (51.7%) or sexual assaulters (48.3%). Child molesters were identified on the basis of the offense definition. Following the BJS study, only male sex offenders were included, although 10 female sex offenders admitted during the time period were excluded from the analysis. The sex offender population was 53.1% White, 45.8% Black and 1% other. The mean age at admission was 35.1 years old.

	All			Rapists		Sexual Assaulters
<b>Characteristics</b>	No.	%	No.	· %	No.	%
Race						
White	153	53.1%	70	47.0%	83	59.7%
Black	132	45.8%	78	52.3%	54	38.8%
Other	3	1.0%	1	0.7%	2	1.4%
Age at Admission						
24 or Younger*	76	26.4%	45	30.2%	31	22.3%
25 - 29	35	12.2%	19	12.8%	16	11.5%
30 - 34	43	14.9%	18	12.1%	25	18.0%
35 - 39	42	14.6%	22	14.8%	20	14.4%
40 - 44	31	10.8%	16	10.7%	15	10.8%
45 or Older	61	21.2%	29	19.5%	32	23.0%
Age At Admission						
Average	35.1 Yrs		34.4Yrs		35.8 Yrs	
Median	33.3		33.1		34.2	
Total Admitted	288		149		139	

### Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, by Type of Sex Offender

Note: Under SC law, 17 year olds are supervised as adults at SCDPPPS.

Seventy-five percent of the SCDPPPS sex offenders were child molesters. The child molester population was 56% White, 42.6% Black and 1.4% Other. The mean age at admission for child molesters was 34.2 years.

	A 11			Child		ot Child
~	All	<b>.</b> (		Iolesters		Iolesters
<b>Characteristics</b>	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Race						
White	153	53.1%	121	56.0%	32	44.4%
Black	132	45.8%	92	42.6%	40	55.6%
Other	3	1.0%	3	1.4%	0	0.0%
Age at Admission						
24 or Younger*	76	26.4%	55	25.5%	21	29.2%
25 - 29	35	12.2%	25	11.6%	10	13.9%
30 - 34	43	14.9%	33	15.3%	10	13.9%
35 - 39	42	14.6%	34	15.7%	8	11.1%
40 - 44	31	10.8%	21	9.7%	10	13.9%
45 or Older	61	21.2%	48	22.2%	13	18.1%
Age At Admission						
Average	35.1 Yrs		35.5Yrs		34.0 Yrs	
Median	33.3		34.2		33.0	
Total Admitted	288		216		72	

# Table 2. Demographic Characteristics of Child MolestersAdmitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01

Note: Under SC law, 17 year olds are supervised as adults at SCDPPPS.

The mean sentence (community supervision) for all SCDPPPS sex offenders was 41.9 months. The average time under supervision for all sex offenders was 33.1 months, or 86.2% of the sentence time.

	All	Rapists	Sexual Assaulters
Characteristics			
Sentence Lengt	h (in months)		
Mean	41.9 mo	36.4 mo	47.8 mo
Median	36.0	36.0	60.0
Time Served (in	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
For Offenders 1	Now Closed		
Mean	33.1 mo	29.1 mo	38.1 mo
Median	34.1	24.0	36.0
Percent of Sent	ence Served		
For Offenders	Closed 86.2%	84.8%	87.6%

# Table 3. Sentence Length and Time Served for Sex Offenders Admitted toSCDPPPS in CY 01, by Type of Sex Offender

# Of Offenders Admitted in CY 01

For Those Now Closed, Percent Who Had Served —

No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
31	13.0%	25	19.1%	6	5.6%
15	6.3%	7	5.3%	8	7.4%
16	6.7%	8	6.1%	8	7.4%
39	16.3%	30	22.9%	9	8.3%
11	4.6%	9	6.9%	2	1.9%
17	7.1%	7	5.3%	10	9.3%
62	25.9%	24	18.3%	38	35.2%
48	20.1%	21	16.0%	27	25.0%
239		131		108	
	31 15 16 39 11 17 62 48	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

SCDPPPS child molesters received a mean sentence of 43.9 months of community corrections supervision, and actually served 36.1 months, or 88.9% of the supervision time. Non-child molester received a mean sentence of 36.1 months under community corrections supervision and actually served 24 months, or 75.2% of the sentence.

Characteristics	All		Child Molesters		Not Child Molesters					
			Molesters		woiesters					
Sentence Length (in n Mean	41.9 mo		43.9 mo		36.1 mo					
Median	41.9 mo 36.0		43.9 1110 48.0		28.6					
Weulan	50.0		40.0		20.0					
Time Served (in months)										
For Offenders Now C	losed									
Mean	33.1 mo		36.1 mo		24.0 mo					
Median	34.1		36.0		21.0					
Percent of Sentence S	erved									
For Offenders Now C	losed									
	86.2%		88.9%		75.2%					
Of Offenders Admitte										
For Those Now Close	ed, Percent									
Who Had Served —										
	No	.%	No.	%	No	.%				
6 Months or Less	31	13.0%	14	7.8%	17	28.8%				
7-12	15	6.3%	10	5.6%	5	8.5%				
13-18	16	6.7%	10	5.6%	6	10.2%				
19-24	39	16.3%	31	17.2%	8	13.6%				
25-30	11	4.6%	6	3.3%	5	8.5%				
31-36	17	7.1%	14	7.8%	3	5.1%				
37-60	62	25.9%	55	30.6%	7	11.9%				
61 Months or More	48	20.1%	40	22.2%	8	13.6%				
Total	239		180		59					

# Table 4. Sentence Length and Time Served for Child MolestersAdmitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01

Among SCDPPPS sex offenders, 55.9% had at least one prior arrest, 6.3% had a prior arrest for a sex offense and 2.8% had a sex offense against a child.

### Table 5. Prior Criminal Record of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, by Type of Sex Offender

#### Prior to the Sex Crime for which Admitted to SCDPPPS

	All		Ra	Rapists		Sexual Assaulters	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Offenders with 1 Prior A	Arrest	for:					
Any Crime	161	55.9%	83	55.7%	78	56.1%	
Any Sex Offense	18	6.3%	7	4.7%	11	7.9%	
Sex Offense Against a Ch	ild 8	2.8%	3	2.0%	5	3.6%	
Prior Arrest for Any Cri	ime						
Mean	2.5		2.4		2.6		
Median	1		1		1		
Offenders with at Least				50.20/	69	49.00/	
Any Crime	143		75	50.3%	68	48.9%	
Any Sex Offense	9		4	2.7%	5	3.6%	
Sex Offense Against a Ch	ild 7	2.4%	3	2.0%	4	2.9%	
Prior Convictions for Ar	ny Cri	me					
Mean	2.6		2.6		2.7		
Median	0		1		0		
Offenders with Prior Pri			•				
	41	14.2%	21	14.1%	20	14.4%	
Total Admitted	288		149		139		

Notes:

A "Prior" does not include the arrest, conviction, or prison sentence that was the reason the sex offenders were admitted to SCDPPPS supervision in CY 01. Persons with no prior Arrest or prior convictions were coded zero and were included in the calculations of mean and median priors.

b "Prior Prison Sentence" refers to a prior prison sentence served at the SC Department of Corrections. Data from prisons

in other states or federal facilities is not included in this study.

Among child molesters, 56% had a prior arrest. Six percent of child molesters had a prior arrest for a sex offense and 3.7% had a prior arrest for a sex offense against a child.

### Table 6. Prior Criminal Record of Child MolestersAdmitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01

#### Prior to the Sex Crime for which Admitted to SCDPPPS

		All	Child Molesters		Not Child Molesters	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Offenders with at Leas	t 1 Prior	Arrest for -	— a			
Any Crime	161	55.9%	121	56.0%	40	55.6%
Any Sex Offense	18	6.3%	13	6.0%	5	6.9%
Sex Offense Against a C	Child 8	2.8%	8	3.7%	0	0.0%
Prior Arrests for Any	Crime					
Mean	2.5		2.3		3.1	
Median	1		1		1	
Offenders with at Leas		Conviction				
Any Crime	143	49.7%	105	48.6%	38	52.8%
Any Sex Offense	9	3.1%	7	3.2%	2	2.8%
Sex Offense Against a C	Child 7	2.4%	6	2.8%	1	1.4%
Prior Convictions for A	Any Crin	ıe				
Mean	2.6		2.2		4.0	
Median	0		0		1	
Offenders with Prior P	rison Se	ntence for A	ny Crime	<u>)</u>		
	41	14.2%	26	12.0%	15	20.8%
Total Admitted	288		216		72	

Note:

a "Prior" does not include the arrest, conviction, or prison sentence that was the reason

the sex offenders were admitted to SCDPPPS supervision in CY 01. Persons with no prior arrest or prior convictions were coded zero and were included in the calculations of mean and median priors.

b "Prior Prison Sentence" refers to a prior prison sentence served at the SC Department of Corrections. Data from prisons in other states or federal facilities is not included in this study.

Among the SCDPPPS sex offenders, 36.8% were rearrested, 23.6% were reconvicted for a new crime, 5.6% were incarcerated in SCDC and 25% were incarcerated in SCDC, within three years of admission.

Table 7. Recidivism Rate of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01
by Recidivism Measure and Type of Sex Offender

Recidivism	ŀ	A 11	R	apists	Sexual Assaulters		
Measure	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Within 3 Years Following	Adm	ission:					
Rearrested for Any Type of	Crim	ie					
	106	36.8%	58	38.9%	48	34.5%	
Reconvicted for Any Type	of Cri	me					
	68	23.6%	38	25.5%	30	21.6%	
Admitted to Prison with a N	lew						
Sentence for Any Type of C	Crime	a					
	16	5.6%	9	6.0%	7	5.0%	
Admitted to Prison with or							
without a New Sentence b	72	25.0%	37	24.8%	35	25.2%	
Total Admitted	288		149		139		

Notes:

a"New Prison Sentence" includes new sentences to the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC) but not in other states, Federal prisons, or local jails.

b"With or without a New Sentence" includes admissions to the SC Department of Corrections with new sentences plus admissions for technical violations. Data from prisons in other states or federal facilities

The re-arrest rate for SCDPPPS child molesters was 35.6% compared to 40.3% for other sex offenders. The re-conviction rate among child molesters was 22.7%, compared to 26.4% for non-child molesters. Among child molesters the re-incarceration rate was 5.1% and 23.6% were incarcerated in SCDC without a new sentence. Among sex offenders that were not child molesters 6.9% were incarcerated in SCDC and 29.2% were incarcerated in SCDC without a new sentence.

Recidivism			Child		Not Child	
Measure Al	1		Molesters		Molesters	
No	).	%	No.	%	No.	%
Within 3 Years Following Ad	lm	ission:				
Rearrested for Any Type of Cr.	im	e				
10	6	36.8%	77	35.6%	29	40.3%
Reconvicted for Any Type of	f C	Crime				
6		23.6%	49	22.7%	19	26.4%
Admitted to Prison with a Ne	w					
Sentence for Any Type of Cr	im	ne a				
1		5.6%	11	5.1%	5	6.9%
Admitted to Prison with or without a New Sentence b						
7	2	25.0%	51	23.6%	21	29.2%
Total Admitted 28	8			216	72	

# Table 8. Recidivism Rate of Child Molesters Admitted toSCDPPPS in CY 01 by Recidivism Measure

Notes:

A "New Prison Sentence" includes new sentences to the South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC) but not in other states, Federal prisons, or local jails.

B "With or without a New Sentence" includes admissions to the SC Department of Corrections with new sentences plus admissions for technical violations. Data from prisons in other states or federal facilities is not included in this study.

Among SCDPPPS sex offenders, 36.8% were re-arrested within three years, 23.6% were reconvicted for a crime within three years, and 5.6% were incarcerated in SCDC within three years.

# Table 9. Recidivism Rate of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01by Type of Recidivism Measure, Type of Sex Offender and Time After Admission

					Se	xual	
Time after	All			Rapists	Assa	ulters	
CY 01 Admission	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%	
Rearrested for any typ	e						
of crime within —							
6 Months	25	8.7%	15	10.1%	10	7.2%	
1 Year	43	14.9%	27	18.1%	16	11.5%	
2 Years	79	27.4%	48	32.2%	31	22.3%	
3 Years	106	36.8%	58	38.9%	48	34.5%	
Reconvicted for any ty	ре						
of crime within —	-						
Months	12	4.2%	5	3.4%	7	5.0%	
1 Year	22	7.6%	9	6.0%	13	9.4%	
2 Years	47	16.3%	26	17.4%	21	15.1%	
3 Years	68	23.6%	38	25.5%	30	21.6%	
Admitted to Prison wit	h a new						
Sentence for any Type	of Crime	e within — a	ı				
Months	3	1.0%	3	2.0%	0	0.0%	
1 Year	5	1.7%	3	2.0%	2	1.4%	
2 Years	10	3.5%	7	4.7%	3	2.2%	
3 Years	16	5.6%	9	6.0%	7	5.0%	
Total Admitted	288		149		139		

6

6

Among child molesters 8.7% were re-arrested within the first six months, 4.2% were reconvicted of a crime within the first six months and 1% were incarcerated in SCDC within the first six months.

### Table 10. Recidivism Rate of Child Molesters Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01by Type of Recidivism Measure and Time After Admission

			Child		Not Child	
Time after	All		Moleste		Molesters	
CY 01 Admission	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
<b>Re-arrested for any ty</b>	pe of crin	ne				
of crime within —						
6 Months	25	8.7%	16	7.4%	9	12.5%
1 Year	43	14.9%	27	12.5%	16	22.2%
2 Years	79	27.4%	54	25.0%	25	34.7%
3 Years	106	36.8%	77	35.6%	29	40.3%
Reconvicted for any ty	ре					
of crime within —	-					
6 Months	12	4.2%	11	5.1%	1	1.4%
1 Year	22	7.6%	17	7.9%	5	6.9%
2 Years	47	16.3%	34	15.7%	13	18.1%
3 Years	68	23.6%	49	22.7%	19	26.4%
Admitted to Prison wit	th a new					
Sentence for any Type	of Crime	e within —	a			
6 Months	3	1.0%	3	1.4%	0	0.0%
1 Year	5	1.7%	4	1.9%	1	1.4%
2 Years	10	3.5%	6	2.8%	4	5.6%
3 Years	16	5.6%	11	5.1%	5	6.9%
Total Admitted	288		216		72	

Cumulative Number & Percent of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01

Note: a "New Prison Sentence" includes new sentences to the South Carolina Department of Corrections but not in other state prisons, federal prisons or local jails.

Young sex offenders experienced higher re-arrest rates than older sex offenders. The rearrest rate for Black sex offenders was higher than the re-arrest rate for White offenders.

		All					Sex	
		Sex	x Offenders		Rap	ists	Ass	aulters
<b>Offender</b>	<u>Total</u>	No	. %	Total	No	. %	Total	No. %
Race								
White	153	43	28.1%	70	24	34.3%	83	19 22.9%
Black	132	62	47.0%	78	33	42.3%	54	29 53.7%
Other	3	1	33.3%	1	1	100.0%	2	0 0.0%
Age								
24 or less	* 76	38	50.0%	45	22	48.9%	31	16 51.6%
25 - 29	35	18	51.4%	19	11	57.9%	16	7 43.8%
30 - 34	43	15	34.9%	18	8	44.4%	25	7 28.0%
35 - 39	42	14	33.3%	22	7	31.8%	20	7 35.0%
40 - 44	31	10	32.3%	16	5	31.3%	15	5 33.3%
45 or Old	er 61	11	18.0%	29	5	17.2%	32	6 18.8%
Total								
Admitted	288	106	36.8%	149	58	38.9%	139	48 34.5%

# Table 11. Three Year Re-arrest Rate of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, by Type of Sex Offender and Demographic Characteristics

Note: Under SC law, 17 year olds are supervised as adults at SCDPPPS.

Among child molesters, young offenders were re-arrested more frequently than older offenders and Black offenders were re-arrested more frequently than White offenders.

Table 12. Three Year Re-arrest Rate of Child Molesters Admitted to SCDPP	'PS in
CY 01, by Demographic Characteristics	

		All Sex	Offenders		Child Molesters				
Offender T	otal	No	. %	Total	No	. %	Total	No	. %
Race									
White	153	43	28.1%	121	30	24.8%	32	13	40.6%
Black	132	62	47.0%	92	46	50.0%	40	16	40.0%
Other	3	1	33.3%	3	1	33.3%	0	0	
Age at Adı	missi	on							
24 or less*	76	38	50.0%	55	29	52.7%	21	9	42.9%
25 - 29	35	18	51.4%	25	12	48.0%	10	6	60.0%
30 - 34	43	15	34.9%	33	9	27.3%	10	6	60.0%
35 - 39	42	14	33.3%	34	11	32.4%	8	3	37.5%
40 - 44	31	10	32.3%	21	7	33.3%	10	3	30.0%
45 or older	61	11	18.0%	48	9	18.8%	13	2	15.4%
Total Admitted	288	106	36.8%	216	77	35.6%	72	29	40.3%
Aumitieu	200	100	50.070	210	11	55.070	12	29	+0.370

Note: Under SC law, 17 year olds are supervised as adults at SCDPPPS.

Tables 13 and 14 were not replicated because they are not applicable to community supervision.

Among SCDPPPS sex offenders that were re-arrested within three years, 26% of those under supervision for their first arrest, were re-arrested for another crime, compared to a 45.3% re-arrest rate for sex offenders that had additional previous arrests.

Table 15. Re-arrest Rate of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01
by Type of Sex Offender and Prior Arrest for any Type of Crime

	All		Rapists		Sexual Assaulters	
Arrest Prior to CY 01 A		on %	No	%	Na	%
Rearrested for any type of crime within 3 Years	No.	<u> </u>	No.	<u> </u>	No.	<u> </u>
Total	106	36.8%	58	38.9%	48	34.5%
The arrest responsible for admitted to SCDPPPS in		0				
Their first arrest for any Not their first arrest for	33	26.0%	15	22.7%	18	29.5%
The men mist arest for	73 73	45.3%	43	51.8%	30	38.5%
Total Offenders Admitte	ed					
The arrest responsible for admitted to SCDPPPS in						
Their first arrest for any	• 1					
Not their first arrest for	127 anv typ		66	44.3%	61	43.9%
	161	55.9%	83	55.7%	78	56.1%
Total Admitted	288		149		139	

Note: \* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission; namely, the sex crime arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01.

Among SCDPPPS child molesters under supervision for their first arrest, 26.3% were rearrested within three years, compared to 25% for sex offenders who were not child molesters.

# Table 16. Re-arrest Rate of Child Molesters Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01 by Prior Arrest for any Type of Crime

	All		Not Child Molesters		Child Molesters	
Arrest Prior to CY 01 A	dmissio No	on %	No.	%	No.	%
Rearrested for any type of crime within 3 Years	110	70	110	70	1101	70
Total	106	36.8%	77	35.6%	29	40.3%
The arrest responsible for admitted to SCDPPPS in						
Their first arrest for any	type of 33	f crime 26.0%	25	26.3%	8	25.0%
Not their first arrest for	any typ 73	e of crime 45.3%	e 52	43.0%	21	52.5%
Total Offenders Admitte	ed					
The arrest responsible for admitted to SCDPPPS in		0				
Their first arrest for any	127	44.1%	95	44.0%	32	44.4%
Not their first arrest for	any typ 161	e of crime 55.9%	121	56.0%	40	55.6%
Total Admitted	288		216		72	

Note:

\* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission:

namely, the sex crime arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01.

Among SCDPPPS child molesters under supervision for their first arrest, 26.3% were re-arrested within three years, compared to 25% for sex offenders who were not child molesters.

The re-arrest rate of sex offenders generally increased with the number of prior arrests. The lowest re-arrest rate (26%) was among sex offenders with no prior arrests other than the one for which they were under supervision in 2001. Sex offenders with 16 or more had a re-arrest rate of 71.4%. The highest re-arrest rate was among sex offenders with 11 to 15 prior arrests. This generalized pattern of the percentage of offenders being re-arrested with the number of prior arrests also held true for rapists and sexual assaulters.

## Table 17. Re-arrest Rate of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01 by Type of Sex Offender and Number of Prior Arrests for any Type of Crime

### Number of Adult Arrest Prior to CY 01 Admission\*

<b>Re-arrested for any type</b>	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
of crime within 3 Years *	*106	36.8%	58	38.9%	48	34.5%
1 prior arrest, any crime	33	26.0%	15	22.7%	18	29.5%
2	16	33.3%	13	44.8%	3	15.8%
3	11	44.0%	6	54.5%	5	35.7%
4	13	46.4%	6	50.0%	5 7	43.8%
5	6	37.5%	3	42.9%	3	33.3%
6	3	30.0%	1	20.0%	2	40.0%
7-10	11	50.0 <i>%</i> 64.7%	6	20.0 <i>%</i>	5	40.070 71.4%
11-15	8	80.0%	4	80.0%	4	80.0%
16 or more	5	30.0 <i>%</i> 71.4%	4	100.0%	4	33.3%
	5	/1.470	4	100.0%	1	55.5%
Percent of Offenders Adr	nitted					
All Sex Offenders		100%		100%		100%
1 prior arrest, any crime	127	44.1%	66	44.3%	61	43.9%
2	48	16.7%	29	19.5%	19	13.7%
3	25	8.7%	11	7.4%	14	10.1%
4	28	9.7%	12	8.1%	16	11.5%
5	16	5.6%	7	4.7%	9	6.5%
6	10	3.5%	5	3.4%	5	3.6%
7-10	17	5.9%	10	6.7%	7	5.0%
11-15		3.5%	5	3.4%	5	3.6%
	10	1, 170				
16 or more	10 7	3.3% 2.4%	4	2.7%	3	2.2%

Note:\* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission: namely, the arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01. In this table, that arrest is counted as 1 prior arrest.

The re-arrest rate of child molesters generally increased with the number of prior arrests. Among child molesters with no arrests other than the one for which they were under supervision, 26.3% were re-arrested. Among child molesters with 16 or more prior arrests, 66.7% were re-arrested. The highest re-arrest rate (85.7%) was among child molesters with 11 - 15 prior arrests.

The re-arrest rate of child molesters generally increased with the number of prior arrests. Among child molesters with no arrests other than the one for which they were under supervision, 26.3% were re-arrested. Among child molesters with 16 or more prior arrests, 66.7% were re-arrested. The highest re-arrest rate (85.7%) was among child molesters with 11 - 15 prior arrests.

# Table 18. Re-arrest Rate of Child Molesters Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01by Number of Prior Arrests for any Type of Crime

Number of Adult Arrests		All	Child Mole	a <b>t</b> a u a	Not Child Molesters		
Prior to CY 01 Admission *	No.	AII %	No.	%	No.	%	
Re-arrested for any type of crime within 3 Years **	106	36.8%	77	35.6%	29	40.3%	
of crime within 5 Tears	100	30.870	11	33.070	29	40.3%	
1 prior arrest for any type of crime	33	26.0%	25	26.3%	8	25.0%	
2	16	33.3%	12	33.3%	4	33.3%	
3	11	44.0%	8	38.1%	3	75.0%	
4	13	46.4%	9	40.9%	4	66.7%	
5	6	37.5%	3	25.0%	3	75.0%	
6	3	30.0%	1	16.7%	2	50.0%	
7-10	11	64.7%	9	81.8%	2	33.3%	
11-15	8	80.0%	6	85.7%	2	66.7%	
16 or more	5	71.4%	4	66.7%	1	100.0%	
Percent of Offenders Admitted							
All Sex Offenders		100%		100%		100%	
1 prior arrest for any type of crime	127	44.1%	95	44.0%	32	44.4%	
2	48	16.7%	36	16.7%	12	16.7%	
3	25	8.7%	21	9.7%	4	5.6%	
4	28	9.7%	22	10.2%	6	8.3%	
5	16	5.6%	12	5.6%	4	5.6%	
6	10	3.5%	6	2.8%	4	5.6%	
7-10	17	5.9%	11	5.1%	6	8.3%	
11-15	10	3.5%	7	3.2%	3	4.2%	
16 or more	7	2.4%	6	2.8%	1	1.4%	
Total Admitted	288		216		72		

Note:

\* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission: namely, the arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01. In this table, that arrest is counted as 1 prior arrest.

Tables 19 and 20 were not replicated because arrest data outside of South Carolina were not available for this report.

Among SCDPPPS sex offenders, a total of 9 (3.1%) were re-arrested for a new sex offense, and 3 (1%) were re-convicted for a new sex offense. The re-arrest and reconviction rates were higher for rapists than for sexual assaulters.

# Table 21. Of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, Those Re-arrested and Reconvicted of any New Sex Crime, by Type of Sex Offender

	All <u>No.</u>	%	Rapists No.	%	Sexual Assaulters No.	%
Re-arrested for Any New Crime within 3 Years of Admission	Sex 9	3.1%	5	3.4%	4	2.9%
Reconvicted for Any New Crime within 3 Years of Admission	v Sex 3	1.0%	3	2.0%	0	0.0%
Total Admitted	288		149		139	

Among SCDPPPS child molesters, a total of 7 (3.2%) were re-arrested for a new sex offense and 3 (1.4%) were re-convicted for a new sex crime. The re-arrest and reconviction rates for child molesters were higher than the rates for sex offenders who were not child molesters.

# Table 22. Of Child Molesters Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01,Those Rearrested and Reconvicted of any New Sex Crime

	All		Child Mole		Not Child Molesters	
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
Rearrested for Any New Sex Crime within 3 Years of Admission	9	3.1%	7	3.2%	2	2.8%
Reconvicted for Any New Sex Crime within 3 Years of Admission	3	1.0%	3	1.4%	0	0.0%
Total Admitted	288		216		72	

With only 9 SCDPPPS sex offenders having been rearrested for new sex crimes, it is difficult to identify a meaningful pattern based on time of re-arrest after admission to supervision.

# Table 23. Of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, Those Rearrestedfor any New Sex Crime, by Type of Sex Offender and Time After Admission

Cumulative Number and Percent Rearrested for any New Sex Crime within Specified Time

Time after		<b>A</b> 11	Ra	pists		Sexual Assaulters <u>No. %</u> 0 0.0%		
CY 01 Admission	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>		
6 Months	2	0.7%	2	1.3%	0	0.0%		
1 Year	2	0.7%	2	1.3%	0	0.0%		
2 Years	5	1.7%	3	2.0%	2	1.4%		
3 Years	9	3.1%	5	3.4%	4	2.9%		
<b>Total Admitted</b>	288		149		139			

Among SCDPPPS child molesters, more were re-arrested during the two to three year interval after release. It is important to note that the very small numbers involved make it difficult to attach much meaning to this trend.

# Table 24. Of Child Molesters Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, Those Re-arrested for any New Sex Crime, by Time After Admission

Cumulative Number and Percent Re-arrested for any New Sex Crime within Specified Time

Time after		All	Μ	Child lolesters		ot Child lolesters
CY 01 Admission	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
6 Months	2	0.7%	1	0.5%	1	1.4%
1 Year	2	0.7%	1	0.5%	1	1.4%
2 Years	5	1.7%	4	1.9%	1	1.4%
3 Years	9	3.1%	7	3.2%	2	2.8%
<b>Total Admitted</b>	288		216		72	

Note: Under SC law, 17 year olds are supervised as adults at SCDPPPS.

Table 26 was not replicated because it was not applicable to community corrections clients.

The percent of sex offenders with no prior arrests (other than for the current supervision) re-arrested for a new sex offense (3.1%) was identical to the percent of sex offenders with additional prior arrests re-arrested for a new sex offense (3.1%).

Arrests Prior to	All		Rapists		Sexual Assaulters	
CY 01 Admission	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%
<b>Re-arrested for Any New</b>	v Sex					
Crime within 3 Years of		sion				
Total	9	3.1%	5	3.4%	4	2.9%
The arrest responsible for		-				
admitted to SCDPPPS in C						
Their first arrest for any	type of					
	4	3.1%	3	4.5%	1	1.6%
Not their first arrest for a	any typ					
	5	3.1%	2	2.4%	3	3.8%
Total Offenders Admitte	d					
The arrest responsible for admitted to SCDPPPS in C Their first arrest for any	CY 01 v	was — *				
Then this arest for any	127		66	44.3%	61	43.9%
Not their first arrest for a			00		01	
	161	55.9%	83	55.7%	78	56.1%
			-	-		-
Total Admitted	288		149		139	

# Table 27. Of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01,Those Re-arrested for any New Sex Crime, by Type of Sex Offenderand Prior Arrest for any Type of Crime

Note:

\* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission: namely, the arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01. "First arrest for any type of crime" pertains exclusively to those admitted offenders whose first arrest was the sex offense arrest responsible for their admission to SCDPPPS in CY 01.

Seven of the 9 SCDPPPS sex offenders re-arrested for a new sex offense were child molesters. Among the 7 child molesters re-arrested for a new sex offense, 2 had no prior arrests (other than for the current sentence) and 5 had previous arrests.

Arrest Prior to.	All			Child lester		t Child lester
CY 01 Admission	No.	%	No	%	No.	%
<b>Rearrested for Any N</b>	ew Sex					
Crime within 3 Years	of Admiss	sion				
Total	9	3.1%	7	3.2%	2	2.8%
The arrest responsible admitted to SCDPPPS Their first arrest for a	in CY 01 v	vas — *				
	4	3.1%	2	2.1%	2	6.3%
Not their first arrest f	or any type	e of crime				
	5	3.1%	5	4.1%	0	0.0%
Total Offenders Adm	itted					
The arrest responsible admitted to SCDPPPS Their first arrest for a	in CY 01 v	vas — *				
	127	44.1%	95	44.0%	32	44.4%
Not their first arrest f	or any type	e of crime				
	161	55.9%	121	56.0%	40	55.6%
Total Admitted	288		216		72	

### Table 28. Of Child Molesters Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, Those Rearrested for any New Sex Crime, by Prior Arrest for any Type of Crime

Note:

\* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission: namely, the arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01. "First arrest for any type of crime" pertains exclusively to those admitted offenders whose first arrest was the sex offense arrest responsible for their admission to SCDPPPS in CY 01.

Among SCDPPPS sex offenders re-arrested for a new sex offense, the highest re-arrest rate (1.4%) was among those with no prior arrests, other than the prior arrest for which they were under supervision.

### Table 29. Of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, Number and Percent Re-arrested for any New Sex Crime, by Number of Prior Arrests for any Type of Crime

Number of Adult Arrests			
Prior to CY 01 Admission *	No.		%
	0	2.10/	
All Sex Offenders	9	3.1%	
1 prior arrest for any type of crime	4	1.4%	
2	3	1.0%	
3	1	0.3%	
4	0	0.0%	
5	0	0.0%	
6	0	0.0%	
7-10	0	0.0%	
11-15	1	0.3%	
16 or more	0	0.0%	
All Offenders Ad	mitted		
All Sex Offenders		100%	
1 prior arrest for any type of crime	127	44.1%	
2	48	16.7%	
3	25	8.7%	
4	28	9.7%	
5	16	5.6%	
6	10	3.5%	
7-10	17	5.9%	
11-15	10	3.5%	
16 or more	7	2.4%	
Total Admitted	288		

#### Sex Offenders Re-arrested for a New Sex Crime

Note:

\* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission: namely, the arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01. In this table, that arrest is counted as 1 prior arrest.

\*\* These percentages are cumulative.

Of the 9 SCDPPPS sex offenders re-arrested for a new sex offense, 5 were rapists and 4 were sexual assaulters. Of total sex offenders with no prior arrests, 2.6% were re-arrested for a new sex offense compared to 11.1% of those with prior arrests.

### Table 30. Of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, Those Rearrested for any New Sex Crime, by Type of Sex Offender and Prior Arrest for any Sex Crime

	All		Rapists		Sexual Assaulters	
Arrest Prior to CY 01	Admissio No.	n %	No	%	No.	%
Re-arrested for Any No Crime within 3 Years of		ion				
Total	9	3.1%	5	3.4%	4	2.9%
The arrest responsible for admitted to SCDPPPS in Their first arrest for an	n CY 01 w	/as — *				
	7	2.6%	4	2.8%	3	2.3%
Not their first arrest fo	r any sex 2	11.1%	1	14.3%	1	9.1%
Total Offenders Admit	ted					
The arrest responsible for admitted to SCDPPPS in		0				
Their first arrest for an	270	93.8%	142	95.3%	128	92.1%
Not their first arrest fo	r any sex 18	6.3%	7	4.7%	11	7.9%
Total Admitted	288		149		139	

Note:

\* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission: namely, the arrest responsible

for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01. "First arrest for any sex crime" pertains exclusively to those admitted offenders whose first arrest was the sex offense arrest responsible for their admission to SCDPPPS in CY 01.

Among the nine SCDPPPS child molesters re-arrested for a new sex offense, 7 were under supervision for their first arrest for a sex crime, while 2 were under supervision had previous arrests for sex crimes.

	All		Child Molesters		Not Child Molesters	
	<u>No.</u>	%	No	%	No.	%
Arrest Prior to CY 01 A	amission					
Rearrested for Any New Crime within 3 Years of Total		on 3.1%	7	3.2%	2	2.8%
The arrest responsible for admitted to SCDPPPS i		-				
Their first arrest for a	ny sex crit	ne				
	7	2.6%	5	2.5%	2	3.0%
Not their first arrest for	or any sex	crime	-			
	2	11.1%	2	15.4%	0	0.0%
Total Offenders Admitt	ed					
The arrest responsible for admitted to SCDPPPS i						
Their first arrest for a	ny sex crit	ne				
	270	93.8%	203	94.0%	67	93.1%
Not their first arrest for	or any sex	crime				
	18	6.3%	13	6.0%	5	6.9%
Total Admitted	288		216		72	

# Table 31. Of Child Molesters Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, Those Rearrested for any New Sex Crime, by Prior Arrest for any Sex Crime

Note:

\* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission: namely, the arrest responsible

for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01. "First arrest for any sex crime" pertains exclusively to those admitted offenders whose first arrest was the sex offense arrest responsible for their admission to SCDPPPS in CY 01.

Tables 32 and 33 were not replicated because arrest data outside of South Carolina were not available for this report.

Among sex offenders rearrested for a sex offense against a child, 50% of the victims were 13 or younger.

### Table 34. Of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, Those Rearrested for a Sex Crime against a Child, and Alleged Victims by Age of Victim and Type of Sex Offender

	All		Rapists		Sexual Assaulters	
	No.	%	No	%	No.	%
<b>Rearrested for a Sex Crit</b>	me Ag	ainst				
a Child with 3 Years of A	dmiss	ion				
	6	2.1%	3	2.0%	3	2.2%
Total Number Admitted	288		149		139	
Age of Child that Sex Offe Charged with Molesting at						
13 or younger	3	50.0%	1	33.3%	2	66.7%
14-15	2	33.3%	1	33.3%	1	33.3%
16-17	1	16.7%	1	33.3%	0	0.0%
Number of Molested Child	dren 6		3		3	

Notes:

The approximate ages of the children who were allegedly molested by the 6 offenders after their admission to SCDPPPS were obtained from the charge offense itself where the high end of an age range is specified.

"Number of Molested Children" was set to equal the number of sex offenders admitted who were rearrested for child molesting.

Among SCDPPPS child molesters rearrested for a sex crime against a child, 50% were re-arrested for offenses against a child 13 or younger.

	All		Child Molest	ters		ot Child Iolesters
	No.	%	No	%	No.	%
Rearrested for a Sex Crime	0					
a Child with 3 Years of Ad	missic	n				
	6	2.1%	4	1.9%	2	2.8%
Total Number Admitted	288		216		72	
Age of Child that Sex Offer Charged with Molesting after						
13 or younger	3	50.0%	2	50.0%	1	50.0%
14-15	2	33.3%	2	50.0%	0	0.0%
16-17	1	16.7%	0	0.0%	1	50.0%
Number of Molested Child	ren 6		4		2	

### Table 35. Of Child Molesters Admitted SCDPPPS in CY 01, Those Re-arrested for a Sex Crime against a Child, and Victims By Age of Victim.

Notes:

The approximate ages of the children who were allegedly molested by the 6 offenders after their admission to SCDPPPS were obtained from the charge offense itself where the high end of an age range is specified.

"Number of Molested Children" was set to equal the number of sex offenders admitted who were rearrested for child molesting.

Among the 6 SCDPPPS sex offenders re-arrested for a sex crime against a child, 5 were under supervision for their arrest for a sex crime against a child and 1 had previous arrests for sex crimes against children.

# Table 36. Of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01,Those Re-arrested for a Sex Crime against a Child, by Prior ArrestFor a Sex Crime against a Child and Type of Sex Offender

	All No	%	No	Rapists %	As No	Sexual saulters %	
Rearrested for a Sex a Child within 3 Year	0						
a China within 5 Tear	S OI AUIII	551011					
Total	6	2.1%	3	2.0%	3	2.2%	
The arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01 was — *							
Their first arrest for	a sex crime	against a chi	ld				
mon mist unost for	5 sex ennie	1.8%	3	2.1%	2	1.5%	
Not their first arrest for a sex crime against a child							
	1	12.5%	0	0.0%	1	20.0%	
Total Offenders Adm	iitted						
The arrest responsible admitted to SCDPPPS		0					
Their first arrest for	a sex crime	against a chi	ld				
	280	97.2%	146	98.0%	134	96.4%	
Not their first arrest		0					
	8	2.8%	3	2.0%	5	3.6%	
Total Admitted	288		149		139		

Note:

\* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission: namely, the arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01. "First arrest for a sex crime against a child" pertains exclusively to those admitted offenders whose first arrest was the sex offense arrest responsible for their admission to SCDPPPS in CY 01.

Among the 6 SCDPPPS child molesters rearrested for a sex crime against a child, 5 were under supervision for the first arrest for a sex crime against a child, 1 had a prior arrest for a sex crime against a child.

### Table 37. Of Child Molesters Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01, Those Rearrested for a Sex Crime against a Child, by Prior Arrest for a Sex Crime against a Child

	All	Child Molesters	Not Child Molesters
Arrest Prior to CY 01 Admission	No. %	No. %	No. %
<b>Re-arrested for a Sex Crime against</b> <b>A child within 3 Years of Admission</b>			
Total	6 2.1%	4 1.9%	2 2.8%
The arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01 was — *			
The 1st for a sex crime against a child	5 1.8%	3 1.4%	2 2.8%
Not the 1st for a sex crime against a child	1 12.5%	1 12.5%	0 0.0%
Total Offenders Admitted			
The arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01 was — *			
The 1st for a sex crime against a child	280 97.2%	208 96.3%	72 100.0%
Not the 1st for a sex crime against a child	8 2.8%	8 3.7%	0 0.0%
Total Admitted	288	216	72

Note:

\* By definition, all sex offenders had at least 1 arrest prior to their admission: namely, the arrest responsible for their being admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01. "First arrest for a sex crime against a child" pertains exclusively to those admitted offenders whose first arrest was the sex offense arrest responsible for their admission to SCDPPPS in CY 01.

Table 38 was omitted because it did not apply to a community correctional population and tables 39 and 40 were omitted because out-of-state criminal history information was not available.

The overall re-arrest rate for SCDPPPS sex offenders was 36.8%. The re-arrest rate for violent offenses was 10.4% and the re-arrest rate for sex offenses was 3.1%.

						Sexual	
	All			Rapists		Assaulters	
<b>Re-arrest charge</b>	No	%	No	%	No	%	
All Charges	106	36.8%	58	38.9%	48	34.5%	
Violent Offenses	30	10.4%	19	12.8%	11	7.9%	
Homicide	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Kidnapping	1	0.3%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	
Sex Offense	9	3.1%	5	3.4%	4	2.9%	
Rape	9	3.1%	5	3.4%	4	2.9%	
Sexual Assault	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	
Robbery	1	0.3%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	
Assault *	19	7%	12	8%	7	5%	
Property Offenses	33	11.5%	23	15.4%	10	7.2%	
Burglary	5	1.7%	4	2.7%	1	0.7%	
Larceny/Theft	10	3.5%	8	5.4%	2	1.4%	
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	1.4%	1	0.7%	3	2.2%	
Fraud	13	4.5%	9	6.0%	4	2.9%	
Property Damage	1	0.3%	1	0.7%	0	0.0%	
Drug Offenses	13	4.5%	9	6.0%	4	2.9%	
Public Order Offenses **	47	16.3%	25	16.8%	22	15.8%	
Sex Offender Registry Violation							
	25	8.7%	13	8.7%	12	8.6%	
Other Offenses	10	3.5%	3	2.0%	7	5.0%	
Total Admitted	288		149		139		

### Table 41. Re-arrest Rate of Sex Offenders Admitted to SCDPPPS in CY 01,by Type of Sex Offender and Charge at Re-arrest

Notes:

Detail may not add to totals because offenders may be rearrested for more than one type of charge. \* Assault includes Criminal Domestic Violence, Assault while Resisting Arrest and Lynching along with all off standard Assault offenses.

\*\* Public Order Offenses includes such offenses as Breach of Peace, Contempt of Court, Driving Under the Influence along with other traffic related offenses, Trespassing, Open Container, Pointing Firearm and other weapons charges, Resisting Arrest, Public Drunk and Public Disorderly Conduct. The overall re-arrest rate for child molesters was 35.6%. The re-arrest rate for violent crimes was 10.2% and 3.2% for sex offenses.

## Table 42. Re-arrest Rate of Child Molesters Admitted toSCDPPPS in CY 01, by Charge at Re-arrest

						Not Child	
<b>Re-arrest Charge</b>			All No.	N101 %	lesters No. %		Molesters No. %
Ke-arrest Charge			110.	/0	110. /0		110. 70
All Charges	106	36.8%	77	35.6%		29	40.3%
Violent Offenses	30	10.4%	22	10.2%		8	11.1%
Homicide	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%
Kidnapping	1	0.3%	0	0.0%		1	1.4%
Sex Offense	9	3.1%	7	3.2%		2	2.8%
Rape	9	3.1%	7	3.2%		2	2.8%
Sexual Assault	0	0.0%	0	0.0%		0	0.0%
Robbery	1	0.3%	1	0.5%		0	0.0%
Assault *	19	7%	14	6%		5	7%
Property Offenses	33	11.5%	20	9.3%		13	18.1%
Burglary	5	1.7%	4	1.9%		1	1.4%
Larceny/Theft	10	3.5%	7	3.2%		3	4.2%
Motor Vehicle Theft	4	1.4%	2	0.9%		2	2.8%
Fraud	13	4.5%	7	3.2%		6	8.3%
Property Damage	1	0.3%	0	0.0%		1	1.4%
Drug Offenses	13	4.5%	9	4.2%		4	5.6%
Public Order Offenses **	47	16.3%	36	16.7%		11	15.3%
Sex Offender Registry Violation							
	25	8.7%	17	7.9%		8	11.1%
Other Offenses	10	3.5%	9	4.2%		1	1.4%

#### **Re-arrested for Specific Offense within 3 Years**

Notes: Detail may not add to totals because offenders may be rearrested for more than one type of charge. \* Assault includes Criminal Domestic Violence, Assault while Resisting Arrest and Lynching and other assaults.

\*\* Public Order Offenses includes Breach of Peace, Contempt of Court, DUI, driving along with other traffic related offenses, Trespassing, Open Container, Pointing Firearm and other weapons charges, Resisting Arrest, Public Drunk and Public Disorderly Conduct.

#### Findings

The South Carolina study took an approach to the issue of sex offender recidivism that varied somewhat from the BJS study of 1994 releases. Although seeking to replicate as closely as possible the BJS analyses, a cohort of community corrections admissions was also included, given the high level of interest the state has had in recent years concerning issues related to sex offenders under supervision in the community. Beyond the inclusion of the community corrections cohort, there were other variations from the BJS study that should be noted. One such variation is that SCDC accepts inmates with sentences of 90 days or more, unlike the jurisdictions in the BJS study which accepted only inmates with a sentence of a year or more. Criminal history in the South Carolina study was limited to South Carolina criminal history records and did not include criminal history data from other states, in contrast to the BJS study. Additionally, mortality information was not available for the SCDC portion of the South Carolina study. Finally, the age of criminal responsibility is 17 in South Carolina, unlike most states. Consequently, both the SCDC and the SCDPPPS cohorts included sex offenders who may have been admitted to prison or community correctional supervision at 17 years of age.

In considering the findings, it is important to note and be aware of the limitations of this analysis. The cohorts were so small that relatively small fluctuations could result in large changes in certain outcomes, particularly those based on small numbers such as arrests or convictions for new sex offenses. Additionally, criminal history was based on in-state records and did not include federal convictions or convictions in other states. An obvious, and extremely important, limitation of any study basing outcome measures on official records is that unreported behavior is not included. It is also important to be mindful that there is overlap between the SCDC and SCDPPPS cohorts, i.e., some members of the SCDC cohort were released to SCDPPPS cohort and therefore would be included in each cohort.

There were also some differences among the study group populations worth noting. The SCDC cohort was 46% Black and 2% Hispanic, compared to 32% Black and 20% Hispanic for the BJS cohort. The SCDPPPS cohort was 46% Black but did not capture Hispanic population. The SCDC cohort had a median age of 36 years compared to a median age of 33 for the SCDPPPS cohort. The median age for sex offenders in the BJS study was 35.3 years. In terms of offenses, the SCDC cohort was 63.7% rapists and 36.3% sexual assaulters compared to 51.7% rapists and 48.3% sexual assaulters in the SCDPPPS cohort. The BJS sex offender cohort was 32.1% rapists, 67.8% sexual assaulters and 44.3% child molesters.

In terms of recidivism measures, among the SCDC cohort, 43% were re-arrested for any crime, 22.3% were reconvicted for any crime and 22.3% were re-incarcerated. Among rapists, 44.5% were re-arrested with 20.9% of rapists being re-arrested for violent crimes. However, only 4.7% were re-arrested for a sex offense. A similar pattern existed among sexual assaulters. Among sexual assaulters, 38.5% were re-arrested, 10.1% were re-arrested for a violent crime and 2.8% were re-arrested for a sex offense. Child molesters

also exhibited a similar patter of re-arrest; 38% were re-arrested, 10% were re-arrested for a violent offense and 2.5% were re-arrested for a sex offense.

Among the SCDPPPS cohort, recidivism outcomes were somewhat, though not radically, different. Among community corrections admissions, 36.8% were re-arrested, 23.6% were reconvicted of any type crime, 5.6% were imprisoned with a new sentence and 25% were incarcerated with or without a new sentence. Among rapists, 38.9% were re-arrested for any type of crime, 25.5% were reconvicted for any crime, 6% were imprisoned with a new sentence and 24.8% were imprisoned with or without a new sentence. Among sexual assaulters, 34.5% were re-arrested for any crime, 21.6% were reconvicted for any type crime, 5% were imprisoned on the basis of a new sentence and 25.2% were imprisoned with or without a new sentence.

Overall, there were several findings of note. First, despite some of the methodological differences and differences in the cohort populations, the recidivism outcomes for both the SCDC and the SCDPPPS cohorts were similar to the BJS cohort. Among the BJS cohort the overall re-arrest rate was 43%, the same as the SCDC cohort but higher than the SCDPPPS cohort re-arrest rate of 36.8%. The reconviction rate for any crime among the BJS cohort was 24%, compared to 22.3% for the SCDC cohort and 23.6% for the SCDPPPS cohort. Although there were some differences, it is fair to characterize all three groups as being generally similar in terms of recidivism. Second, the relatively low rate of re-arrest for sex offenses was notable. Among the SCDC cohort, 4% overall were re-arrested for a sex offense. That included 4.7% of rapists, 2.8% of sexual assaulters, and 2.5% of child molesters. This low re-arrest rate for sex offenses also held true for the SCDPPPS cohort, with 3.1% overall re-arrested for a sex offense, 3.4% of rapists rearrested for a sex offense, 2.9% of sexual assaulters re-arrested for a sex offense and 3.2% of child molesters re-arrested for a sex offense. A thumbnail description of sex offender recidivism in the state might be that they do indeed get in a lot of trouble within a three year period, but only a small number of them are getting in trouble for new sex That, in addition to the finding that recidivism for sex offenders under offenses. community corrections supervision was similar to recidivism for sex offenders released from prison, are key findings that have implications both for policy and future research efforts. Given the current interest in new and particularly technologically focused approaches to controlling sex offenders in the community, it would be very useful to identify risk factors associated with failure, and to the degree possible given the small number of occurrences, new sex offenses.